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## Introduction

Problem: to generate (optimal) code with elementary operations (left shifts, i.e. multiplications by powers of 2, additions and subtractions).

Example: compute 1997x (constant $n=1997$ ).

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { 1. } & 17 x \\
\text { 2. } & \leftarrow(x \ll 4)+x \\
\text { 3. } & 1997 x
\end{array}\right) \leftarrow(17 x \ll 2)-17 x x+(x \ll 11)-51 x \text { }
$$

Can we get a very short code that computes $n \boldsymbol{x}$ ?
Same question as with compression methods! (i.e. compress $n$.)
Other similarities: my heuristic, based on common patterns in the base-2 representation of $n$.

## Formulation of the Problem

Given: odd positive integer $n$ (our constant). We consider a sequence of positive integers $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{q}$ such that:

- initial value: $u_{0}=1$;
- for all $i>0, u_{i}=\left|s_{i} u_{j}+2^{c_{i}} u_{k}\right|$, with

$$
j<i, \quad k<i, \quad s_{i} \in\{-1,0,1\}, \quad c_{i} \geq 0
$$

- final value: $u_{q}=n$.

Same operations with $u_{0}=x$ : we get code (called program in the following) that computes the $u_{i} x$, and in particular, $n x$.

Minimal $q$ associated with $n\left(\right.$ denoted $\left.q_{n}\right)$ ?

Outline:

1. Introduction / formulation of the problem (done).
2. Bounds on the shift counts.
3. A prefix code for the nonnegative integers.
4. How programs are encoded.
5. Lower bounds on the program length.

## Bounds on the Shift Counts

Two data contribute to the size $\sigma$ of a program:

- the number $q$ of elementary operations (i.e. the length);
- the size of the parameters, in particular the shift counts $c_{i}$.

Information theory will give us information on $\sigma$. To deduce lower bounds on $q$, we need bounds on $c_{i}$.

Notation: for any positive integer $m$, let $\mathcal{P}_{m}$ be a subset of programs multiplying by $m$-bit constants; $S$ denotes a function such that for any program $\in \mathcal{P}_{m}$ and any $i, c_{i} \leq S(m)$.
$\mathcal{P}_{m}$ : optimal programs, programs generated by some algorithm, etc.
[ $S(m)$ : bound on the shift counts for any considered program (i.e. in $\mathcal{P}_{m}$ ) associated with $m$-bit constants.]

For $n=2^{m}-1$, the optimal program will always be in $\mathcal{P}_{m}$.
Therefore, $S(\boldsymbol{m}) \geq \boldsymbol{m}$.
For the set of programs generated by algorithms used in practice, $c_{i} \leq m$, therefore $S(m)=m$.

Proved upper bound for optimal programs:
$S(m) \leq 2^{\lfloor m / 2\rfloor-2}(m+1)$, but useless here.
For adequately chosen optimal programs, it seems that $c_{i} \leq m$. If this is true, then $S(m)=m$.
$\rightarrow$ Lower bound on the length of any program.

But for the set of all optimal programs, consider the following example for $m=6 h+1: n=\left(1+2^{h}\right)\left(1+2^{2 h}\right)\left(1+2^{4 h}\right)-2^{7 h}$.

One of the optimal programs (4 operations):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}=1 \\
& u_{1}=u_{0} \ll h \quad+u_{0} \\
& u_{2}=u_{1} \ll 2 h+u_{1} \\
& u_{3}=u_{2} \ll 4 h+u_{2} \\
& u_{4}=u_{3} \quad-u_{0} \ll 7 h .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives: $S(m) \geq 7 h=\frac{7}{6}(m-1)$.
$\rightarrow$ The choice of the optimal program for a constant $n$ is important.

We will also consider $S(m)=k . m$, with $k>1$.

## A Prefix Code for the Nonnegative Integers

Linked to the unbounded search problem: there exists a code in $\operatorname{logsum}_{2}(n)+O\left(\log ^{*}(n)\right)$.

Here, we are only interested in a code in $\log _{2}(n)+o\left(\log _{2}(n)\right)$.
For $n \geq 4$ :

- $k$ : number of bits of $n$ minus 1 ;
- $h$ : number of bits of $k$ minus 1 ;
- code word of $n: 3$ concatenated subwords $h$ digits 1 and a 0
$h$ bits of $k$ without the first $1 \quad k$ bits of $n$ without the first 1.

| integer | code word |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 000 |  |  |
| 1 | 001 |  |  |
| 2 | 010 |  |  |
| 3 | 011 |  |  |
| 4 | 10 | 0 | 00 |
| 5 | 10 | 0 | 01 |
| 6 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 7 | 10 | 0 | 11 |
| 8 | 10 | 1 | 000 |
| 15 | 10 | 1 | 111 |


| integer | code word |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 110 |  | 00 | 0000 |
| 31 | 110 | 00 | 1111 |  |
| 32 | 110 | 01 | 00000 |  |
| 63 | 110 | 01 | 11111 |  |
| 64 | 110 | 10 | 000000 |  |
| 127 | 110 | 10 | 111111 |  |
| 128 | 110 | 11 | 0000000 |  |
| 255 | 110 | 11 | 1111111 |  |
| 256 | 1110 | 000 | 00000000 |  |
| 511 | 1110 | 000 | 11111111 |  |

## Encoding an Elementary Operation

Elementary operation: $u_{i}=\left|s_{i} u_{j}+2^{c_{i}} u_{k}\right|$.
$\rightarrow$ Encode $s_{i}, c_{i}, j$ and $k$.

- $s_{i}: 3$ possible values ( $-1,0$ and 1 ) $\rightarrow 2$ bits.

4th one for the end of the program.

- Integers $c_{i}, j$ and $k$ : prefix code.
- Concatenate the 4 code words.


## Size of the Encoded Program

Bounds on the integers:

- $c_{i}$ bounded above by $S(m)=k . m$.
- $j$ and $k$ bounded by $i-1$, and without significant loss, by $q-1$.
$\rightarrow$ Upper bound on the size of the encoded program:

$$
B(m, q)=q(2+C(S(m))+2 C(q-1))+2
$$

with $C(n)= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } n \leq 3, \\ \left\lfloor\log _{2}(n)\right\rfloor+2\left\lfloor\log _{2}\left(\log _{2}(n)\right)\right\rfloor+1 & \text { if } n \geq 4 .\end{cases}$
Asymptotically: $B(m, q) \sim q\left(\log _{2}(S(m))+2 \log _{2}(q)\right)$.
With $S(m)=k \cdot m: B(m, q) \sim q\left(\log _{2}(m)+2 \log _{2}(q)\right)$.

## Lower Bounds: A Notation...

Let $f$ and $g$ be two positive functions on some domain.
$f(x) \gtrsim g(x)$ if there exists a function $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
|\varepsilon(x)|=o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad f(x) \geq g(x)(1+\varepsilon(x))
$$

Note: it is equivalent to say that there exists a function $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|\varepsilon^{\prime}(x)\right|=o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad f(x)\left(1+\varepsilon^{\prime}(x)\right) \geq g(x)
$$

## Lower Bounds: Worst Case

We consider the $2^{m-2}$ positive odd integers having exactly $m$ bits in their binary representation, and for each integer, an associated program in $\mathcal{P}_{m}$. The $2^{m-2}$ programs must be different.
$\Rightarrow$ There exists a program whose size $\sigma$ is $\geq m-2$, and its length $q$ satisfies: $m-2 \leq \sigma \leq B(m, q) \leq B\left(m, q_{\text {worst }}\right)$.

We recall that asymptotically, with $S(m)=k . m$, we have:

$$
B\left(m, q_{\text {worst }}\right) \sim q_{\text {worst }}\left(\log _{2}(m)+2 \log _{2}\left(q_{\text {worst }}\right)\right) .
$$

We can guess that $\log _{2}\left(q_{\text {worst }}\right) \sim \log _{2}(m)$. Thus we choose to bound $q_{\text {worst }}$ by $m$ and write: $q_{\text {worst }}\left(3 \log _{2}(m)\right) \gtrsim B\left(m, q_{\text {worst }}\right)$.

We recall that $q_{\text {worst }}\left(3 \log _{2}(m)\right) \gtrsim B\left(m, q_{\text {worst }}\right) \geq m-2$.
As a consequence: $q_{\text {worst }} \gtrsim \frac{m}{3 \log _{2}(m)}$.
Note: this also proves that $\log _{2}\left(q_{\text {worst }}\right) \sim \log _{2}(m)$, thus we didn't lost anything significant when bounding $q_{\text {worst }}$ by $m$.

Exact lower bound for $m \geq 4$ :

$$
\frac{m-4}{3 \log _{2}(m)+4\left\lfloor\log _{2}\left(\log _{2}(m)\right)\right\rfloor+2\left\lfloor\log _{2}\left(\log _{2}(k . m)\right)\right\rfloor+\log _{2}(k)+6}
$$

(note: very optimistic for small $m-$ e.g., $<1$ for all $m \leq 37$ ).

## Lower Bounds: Average Case

We consider the set $O_{m}$ of the $2^{m-2}$ positive odd integers having exactly $m$ bits in their binary representation, and for each integer, an associated program in $\mathcal{P}_{m}$.

The $2^{m-2}$ programs must be different:

$$
\frac{1}{2^{m-2}} \sum_{i \in O_{m}} B\left(m, q_{i}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{m-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-2}}\left\lfloor\log _{2} i\right\rfloor=m-4+\frac{m}{2^{m-2}}
$$

As a consequence,

$$
2+(2+C(S(m))+2 C(m)) \frac{1}{2^{m-2}} \sum_{i \in O_{m}} q_{i} \geq m-4+\frac{m}{2^{m-2}}
$$

We recall that

$$
2+(2+C(S(m))+2 C(m)) \frac{1}{2^{m-2}} \sum_{i \in O_{m}} q_{i} \geq m-4+\frac{m}{2^{m-2}}
$$

Thus $q_{\mathrm{av}} \geq \frac{m-6+m / 2^{m-2}}{2+C(S(m))+2 C(m)}$.

Asymptotically, with $S(m)=k . m$, the average length $q_{\text {av }}$ satisfies:

$$
q_{\mathrm{av}} \gtrsim \frac{m}{3 \log _{2}(m)}
$$

i.e. the same bound as in the worst case.

| $m$ | $q_{\mathrm{av}}^{+}$ | $q_{\mathrm{av}}^{-}$ | ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 2.6 | 0.11 | 24.5 |
| 16 | 4.4 | 0.34 | 12.8 |
| 32 | 7.6 | 0.81 | 9.35 |
| 64 | 13.4 | 1.66 | 8.09 |
| 128 | 23.7 | 3.21 | 7.38 |
| 256 | 42.2 | 5.32 | 7.93 |
| 512 | 75.5 | 10.1 | 7.46 |
| 1024 | 135 | 19.2 | 7.05 |
| 2048 | 243 | 36.5 | 6.67 |
| 4096 | 440 | 69.3 | 6.35 |
| 8192 | 803 | 132 | 6.08 |

For random $m$-bit constants: approximated upper bounds on $q_{\text {av }}$ (obtained with my algorithm), lower bounds on $q_{\mathrm{av}}$ and the ratio.

